2016 ASR Public Use Dataset

User's Guide Errata

Post-publication, an inconsistency was found between the 2016 ASR User's Guide and dataset. The numbers assigned to each cohort in the 2016 ASR User's Guide do not match those in the dataset.

The following changes to the guide – noted in red – correct this inconsistency. The dataset can be used without changes.

Page 5:

- "Cohort 1 Refugees entering FY 2011 and FY 2012,
- Cohort 2 Refugees entering FY 2013 and FY 2014, and
- Cohort 3 Refugees entering FY 2015"

Table 1: Population Distribution of Refugees Arriving Between 2011 and 2015

_				
		Year of Arrival	Number of Refugees*	% of Refugees
Cohort	3	2015	69,933	22%
Cohort	2	2014	69,987	22%
		2013	69,926	22%
Cohort	1	2012	58,238	18%
		2011	56,424	17%
		Total	324,508	100%

* Source: FY 2011-2015 data compiled from Department of State admissions reports

Page 7:

"Within cohorts 1 and 2, the design maximizes precision by year of entry."

Page 7 continued:

Table 3: 2016 ASR Target Number of Household Interviews by Cohort and Year of Arrival

	А	В	С	D
	Cohort Household Population %	2016 Target HH Interviews by Cohort	Expected Interviews by Arrival Fiscal Year	Arrival Fiscal Year
Cohort 3: FY 2015	22%	500	500	2015
Cohort 2: FY 2013-2014	43%	500	250	2014
			250	2013
Cohort 1: FY 2011-2012	35%	500	254	2012
			246	2011
Total	100%	1,500	1,500	

[&]quot;Analysis of RADS data suggests that only 5 percent of cohort 2-3 refugees speak "good" English, suggesting that the clear majority of interviews needed to be in languages other than English."

Page 8:

"Within cohort strata, additional stratification used the following factors: year of arrival (for cohorts 1 and 2 only); geographic region, native language, age group, gender, and household size (i.e., family size at arrival (1, 2, 3+ persons))."

Page 9: Table 5: Expected Sample Sizes and Dispositions for the Proposed 2016 ASR

Cohort	Fiscal Years of Arrival	Expected Tracing Effectiveness	Sample Drawn	Successfully Traced & Contacted	Respondent Participation	Expected Interviews
Cohort 3	2015	51.0%	1000	510	98%	500
Cohort 2	2013-14	36.7%	1432	526	95%	500
Cohort 1	2011-12	23.5%	2336	549	91%	500
Total			4,768	1,585		1,500

Note: Table 6, page 11, uses the correct cohort definitions.